S. C. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMIS

1 TESTIMONY OF JACQUELINE R. CHERRY 2 FOR 3 THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA 4 DOCKET NO. 97-003-E 5 IN RE: CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY 6 7 WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD, YOUR NAME 8 ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION? 9 My name is Jacqueline R. Cherry. My business address Α. is 111 Doctors Circle, Columbia, South Carolina. 10 11 employed by the Public Service Commission of South 12 Carolina, Accounting Department, as an utilities 13 accountant. WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND 14 n. 15 AND YOUR BUSINESS EXPERIENCE? I received a B.S. Degree in Business Administration, 16 Α. 17 with a major in Accounting from Johnson C. Smith 18 University in 1976. I was employed by this Commission 19 in February 1979, and have participated in cases 20 involving gas, electric, telephone, water and 21 wastewater utilities. 22 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY INVOLVING Q. 23 CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY? 24 The purpose of my testimony is to set forth, in Α. 25 summary form, the Staff's findings, and -1-

recommendations resulting from our review of the Company's fuel adjustment clause operation for the period January 1996 through March 1997. These findings and recommendations are set forth in detail in the Staff's report.

- Q. WHAT WAS THE SCOPE OF YOUR AUDIT?
- A. The Accounting Department Staff traced the fuel information, as filed in the Company's required monthly filing, to the Company's books and records. The audit covered the period January 1996 through December 1996. The purpose of the audit was to determine if Carolina Power & Light Company had computed and applied the monthly Fuel Adjustment Clause in accordance with the approved clause. To accomplish this, Staff examined the components surrounding the operation of the clause.
- Q. WHAT WERE THE STEPS THAT THE STAFF EMPLOYED WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE AUDIT?
- A. The examination consisted of the following:
 - 1. An Analysis of Account # 151, Fuel Stock
 - 2. Sample of Receipts to the Fuel Stock Account, Account # 151
 - 3. Verification of Charges to Nuclear Fuel Expense, Account # 518

-3-

for the period January 1996 through December 1996. Staff obtained the details of purchases and sales made by Carolina Power & Light Company to and from other electric utilities and verified the amounts which are being used in computing total fuel cost for each month. Furthermore, in accordance with PSC Order No. 90-961, Docket No. 90-4-E, dated October 18, 1990, Staff was specifically directed to examine the Company's nonfirm, off-system sales to preclude any possible problems in this area and to include language in its audit procedures to address these matters. Accordingly, Staff chose four test months, February, March, July and August 1996, to examine the sales and purchases processes virtually from beginning to end, especially on Economy A and B type transactions. Staff traced the sales and purchases transactions for February, March, July and August 1996 to the Company's typed Daily System Dispatch Sheets (the Company no longer does handwritten Daily System Dispatch Sheets) and to the monthly invoices. Staff recomputed all sales and purchases, including the split-the-savings costs of Economy A and B transactions. In accordance with Public Service Commission Order No. 90-961, Docket No. 90-4-E, dated October 18, 1990, Staff will continue to review these types (nonfirm, off-system)

of transactions during future audits.

Staff's review of KWH sales included verification of total system sales as filed in the monthly factor computation. Staff prepared exhibits from Carolina Power & Light Company's books and records reflecting coal costs during the review period. Specifically, these exhibits are as follows:

Exhibit A - Coal Cost Statistics (and Weighted

Average of Coal Received)

Exhibit B - Received Coal - Cost Per Ton Per Plant

Exhibit C - Received Coal- Cost Per Ton Comparison With reference to Exhibit A, Coal Cost Statistics, Staff has reflected a detailed analysis of spot and contract coal for the twelve-month period January 1996 through December 1996. The detail gives emphasis to tons received, cost per ton received, total received cost, percentage of tons received and cost per MBTU. Also, in Exhibit A, the Weighted Average of Coal Received is reflected for the twelve-month period. In Exhibits B and C, Staff reflects a comparison of coal costs on a per ton basis. Exhibit B is a comparison between Carolina Power & Light's plants and Exhibit C is a comparison between companies.

Staff analyzed the cumulative over-recovery of fuel costs that the Company had incurred for the period

1	January 1996 through December 1996, totaling \$186,139
2	Staff added the projected over-recovery of \$442,338
3	for the month of January 1997, the projected
4	over-recovery of \$1,321,374 for the month of February
5	1997, and the projected over-recovery of \$2,088,722
6	for the month of March 1997 to arrive at an cumulative
7	over-recovery of \$4,038,573 as of March 1997. The
8	Company's cumulative over-recovery, per its testimony
9	in Docket No. 97-003-E, as of December 1996 totals
10	\$87,610 and as of March 1997 the cumulative
11	over-recovery totals \$3,940,045. The difference
12	between the Company's and the Staff's cumulative
13	over-recovery as of actual December 1996 is \$98,529,
14	and the difference as of estimated March 1997 is
15	\$98,528. The cumulative difference as of December
16	1996 of \$98,529 is based on Staff's calculation
17	adjustments to the Company's Purchased Power Costs for
18	March 1996 and for August 1996 through October 1996
19	(per Staff's report). The difference between the
20	December 1996 and the March 1997 respective cumulative
21	differences of \$98,529 and \$98,528 is based on
22	rounding.
23	As stated in Carolina Power & Light's S.C. Retail
24	Adjustment for Fuel Costs Rider, fuel costs will be
25	included in base rates to the extent determined
	1

-6-

reasonable and proper by the Commission. Accordingly, the Commission should consider the over-recovery of \$4,038,573 along with the anticipated fuel costs for the period April 1, 1997 through March 31, 1998, for the purpose of determining the base costs of fuel in base rates effective April 1, 1997. The \$4,038,573 over-recovery figure was provided to the Commission's Utilities Department. Refer to Exhibit G, South Carolina Fuel Costs Computation, for details of the over-recovery computation.

- Q. MRS. CHERRY, WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE ACCOUNTING
 DEPARTMENT'S AUDIT?
- A. Based on the Staff's examination of Carolina Power & Light Company's books and records, a comparison of fuel costs among utilities, and the utilization of the fuel costs recovery mechanisms as directed by this Commission, the Accounting Department is of the opinion that the Company has complied with the directives of the Commission.
- Q. MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU IDENTIFY THE REMAINING
 EXHIBITS CONTAINED IN YOUR REPORT WHICH HAVE NOT
 BEEN IDENTIFIED?
- A. The exhibits are as follows:

Exhibit D - Coal Fuel Stocks - Number of Days of Supply (All Plants)